Geopolitical Tensions and the Rise of Crypto-Enabled Tax Evasion

- Devansh Kaushik

The world is experiencing political and economic tensions, which have transformed how nations and corporations transfer money across borders. With sanctions, trade limitations, and financial restrictions, the actors are compelled to find other avenues that will be more difficult to track. Consequently, there has been a variety of approaches, including offshore banking, intentional mis invoicing and the use of cryptocurrency, that has turned into an appealing means of evading financial attention.

Developing economies, such as India, are particularly harmed by such tendencies as the tax system of the country relies so much on open financial reporting. An obvious case of this turnaround is in how Russia reacted to the sanctions imposed on its oil exports by the Western states. Russian companies are moving towards the use of digital currencies as opposed to normal banking systems. At least, the payments in the Chinese yuan or Indian rupees are silently turned into Bitcoin, Ether or one of the well-known stablecoins, such as Tether. These online resources are once again traded into Russian rubles.

The whole process is carried out without the normal banking systems and hence creates minimal or no trace. Practically, an intermediary in India is paid rupees which is then converted into cryptocurrency and sent through a number of wallets before it finally arrives in Russia as the rubles. As soon as the money flows through this computer pipe, the history of payments is exceptionally hard to recreate. Although the oil may have been sold at a price that honors the global cap, the actual movement of funds will be concealed.

In the case of India this is a direct problem. The payments done to Indian oil refiners can be not explicitly recorded in the banking or custom record. This implies that the regulators have little means of verifying whether the importers reported the actual value of what they bought or whether some portion of the payment was still transferred onto an undeclared digital account. The increased usage of cryptocurrency widens these dark spots. Digital currencies are used as an alternative system of value transfer that does not involve any banks. Consequently, the authorities are not able to view the entire financial picture. Several risks arise from this situation. To start with, rupee payments flowing out of the nation via crypto middlemen might not be reflected in the formal banking statements. Second, individuals and companies are able to store profits in offshore exchanges or stablecoins that cannot easily be traced by the tax department. Third, the normal verifications that can detect the suspicious movement of money cannot be made under the cases of making transactions via anonymous wallets. All of those gaps lead to tremendous losses for India. The tax base is reduced due to the fact that incomes and profits to be paid taxes are concealed behind digital transfers. India has realised the gravity of such developments and has increased its enforcement measures.

The Central Board of Direct Taxes is reviewing those cases when the cryptocurrency activity is not corresponding to the income reported by taxpayers. A number of foreign exchanges have been requested to explain whether they comply with the India rules concerning tax deduction and reporting. At the policy front, a governmental committee is working on an elaborate framework on how to regulate digital assets. This is aimed at dealing with the loopholes through which money is moved out of the formal system.

The governments have as well taken the money laundering regulations to cover some types of digital assets. This growth enables agencies to require additional information either on the home or foreign platforms. It also conveys the idea that the crypto activity is not beyond the scope of the Indian law.

Specific protocols to track crypto activity have already been formulated by other countries. These provide valuable examples to India.

The United States has recently enacted new regulations in which crypto brokers are obliged to disclose all big transactions to the tax authorities. This will resemble what banks are currently doing and will assist the government to know those individuals concealing incomes in digital format. The European Union has also established a regulatory mechanism under which all crypto service providers are required to register and abide by stringent regulations regarding identity authentication. The regulations also ensure that these platforms disclose information regarding taxation across member states and it is becoming hard to conceal money across borders. The Organisation of Economic Cooperation and Development has developed a world reporting system which will become effective in a few years. It needs exchanges and platforms wherein information on users should be collected and shared with tax authorities across the globe. This also involves decentralised finance and stablecoins that are frequently employed to evade. These global experiences demonstrate that when there are clear and effective cooperation mechanisms and rules, digital financial transparency is achievable.

There is no single challenge of cryptocurrency. The more well known and old issue of trade mispricing still continues to rob the Indian government of revenue. Other importers attempt to falsify the country of origin in order to reap the unwanted benefits in the free trade agreements. In a case in point, over a thousand copper importers were sent notices to assert that Chinese copper was of Vietnamese origin. These instances point to the fact that rules are easily abused. The Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs has reacted by coming up with the National Customs Targeting Centre. The tools implemented in this centre include artificial intelligence, automated risk models and x-ray analytics to detect suspicious shipments. These are the methods that aid officials to detect false documentation and misuse of trade agreements in case of undervaluation. In addition to customs action, law enforcement agencies that combat financial crime have clustered huge amounts of illegal cryptocurrency. Such moves show that India is taking the threat seriously.

India requires both increased enforcement of laws and increased capacity to operate in order to be able to deal with the new reality of illicit financial flows. The Customs Act also needs to be revised to provide more severe punishments of fraudulent invoicing. Authorities should have broader powers in freezing assets and recovering the unpaid taxes fast under the GST and the IGST laws. Newer types of digital assets such as stablecoins should also be explicitly covered by the money laundering laws. Simultaneously, India ought to implement an adequate licensing framework of all crypto exchanges offering their services to the Indian residents. At the operational level, they should incorporate customs details, income tax information, and GST details in such a way that the suspicious trends are easily detected. More collaboration between India and the foreign governments in terms of information-sharing agreements and joint investigations is also required.

The emergence of cryptocurrency and the increased intricacy of international politics have set new challenges to tax authorities. The utilization of digital currencies by Russia in its oil trade indicates how easily the value can be transferred out of the financial system. In the case of India, this carries grave consequences on the tax revenue and financial transparency. Enforcement drives, advanced technology and regulatory planning are some of the most important steps taken by India already. The following years will however need more powerful laws, enhanced international collaboration and further integration of the digital and cross border transactions in terms of monitoring. It is only these measures together that India will be able to safeguard her tax base and block the illicit financial flows in the world that is becoming more unpredictable.

References

- Grijalva-Salazar & Caicedo-Mendoza (2025) – *A Systematic Review of Cryptocurrency Taxation and Fiscal Policy (2020–2025)*. (MDPI)
- Kicova, Fabus, Stalmasekova-Galovicova (2025) – *Bitcoin, Cryptocurrencies and Tax Evasion.* (AIMS Press)
- Shah (2025) – *Regulating Cryptocurrency Transactions: International Tax Frameworks, IFRS Convergence, and Cross-Border Arbitrage*. (SSRN)
- El Harras & Salahddine (2025) – *Tracking Financial Crime through Code and Law*. (arXiv)
- OECD (2024) – *Delivering Tax Transparency to Crypto-Assets (Crypto-Asset Reporting Framework Guide)*.
- *Russia leans on cryptocurrencies for oil trade to evade Western sanctions*. (Business Standard)
- *U.S. finalises new crypto tax reporting rules*. (The Economic Times)
- *Sanctioned Russian crypto exchange suspends services as Tether blocks wallets*. (Reuters)
- *Crypto coin for Russian shadow payments moves $9bn*. (Financial Times)
- *Russia builds parallel payments system using crypto to bypass sanctions*. (IntelliNews)
- *The (mis)use of cryptocurrencies by criminal organizations*. (Springer Link)
- *IMF Working Paper – Taxing Cryptocurrencies (2023)* — broader context on revenue risks and tax compliance. (IMF)